

Tomáš Vlček International Institute of Political Science of Masaryk University

# MASARYK UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF SOCIAL STUDIES INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

Monographs Series Vol. 61



# ALTERNATIVE OIL SUPPLY INFRASTRUCTURES FOR THE CZECH REPUBLIC AND SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Tomáš Viček





The publication of the book has been financially supported by Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung, Czech Republic office

#### Scientific Board of Masaryk University:

prof. MUDr. Martin Bareš, Ph.D.

Mgr. Iva Zlatušková

Ing. Radmila Droběnová, Ph.D.

Mgr. Michaela Hanousková

doc. Mgr. Jana Horáková, Ph.D.

doc. PhDr. Mgr. Tomáš Janík, Ph.D.

doc. JUDr. Josef Kotásek, Ph.D.

Mgr. et Mgr. Oldřich Krpec, Ph.D.

prof. PhDr. Petr Macek, CSc. doc. Ing. Petr Pirožek, Ph.D.

doc. RNDr. Lubomír Popelínský, Ph.D.

Mgr. David Povolný

Mgr. Kateřina Sedláčková, Ph.D.

prof. RNDr. David Trunec, CSc.

prof. MUDr. Anna Vašků, CSc.

doc. Mgr. Martin Zvonař, Ph.D.

PhDr. Alena Mizerová

Pre-publishing review: Doc. PhDr. Alexander Duleba, CSc.

Cover photo: iStock, Getty Images

- © 2015 Masarykova univerzita
- © 2015 Tomáš Vlček

ISBN 978-80-210-8283-0 (online : pdf) ISBN 978-80-210-8035-5 (brožovaná vazba)

# **CONTENTS**

LIST OF	F ABBREVIATIONS	7
LIST OF	TABLES	11
EXECU'	TIVE SUMMARY	14
CHAPT	ER 1: INTRODUCTION	17
CHAPT	ER 2: SCIENTIFIC BASES	27
2.1 Epi	istemological and Ontological Positions	27
2.2 Par	adigmatic Anchoring	29
	eoretical Framework	
	thodological Framework	
2.5 Tin	neframe	44
2.6 The	e Subject of the Research and Its Objective	44
2.7 Op	erationalisation	45
2.8 Cas	se Selection	51
2.9 Ris	ks and Limitations	56
2.10 Th	e Literature to Date	57
CHAPT	ER 3: BASELINE DATA AND DESCRIPTION	
OF THE	OIL SECTOR IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC	61
3.1 Oil	Infrastructure in the Czech Republic	61
3.1.1	Oil Pipeline Routes	61
3.1.2	Sources, Deposits, Companies and Oil Trading	64
3.1.3	Extraction Companies, Oil Sources	
	and Deposits in the CR	64
3.1.4	International Oil Transport	67
3.1.5	Processing Plants	69
3.1.6	Distributor	
3.1.7	Oil Product Dealers	
3.1.8	Oil Use and Consumption	81
210	Oil Reserves	84

3.1.10	Oil Demand Concept and Prognoses	88
3.1.11	Baseline for the CR	91
	ER 4: BASELINE DATA AND DESCRIPTION	
OF THE	OIL SECTOR IN THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC	94
4.1 Oil	Infrastructure of the Country	94
4.1.1	Oil Pipeline Routes	94
4.1.2	Sources, Deposits, Companies	
	and Oil Trading	96
4.1.3	Extraction Companies, Oil Sources	
	and Deposits in the SR	
4.1.4	International Oil Transporter	
4.1.5	Processing Plants	
4.1.6	Distributor	
4.1.7	Oil Product Dealers	
4.1.8	Oil Use and Consumption	103
4.1.9	Oil Reserves	
-	Oil Demand Concept and Prognoses	
4.1.11	Baseline for the SR	111
	ER 5: SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES	
	ropean Oil Pipeline Infrastructure	
	e Ingolstadt-Kralupy-Litvínov Pipeline (IKL)	
		125
	e Potential Bratislava-Schwechat Pipeline (BSP)	
	d Adria-Wien Pipeline (AWP)	
	e Potential Odessa-Brody-damowo-Płock-Gdans	
	peline	
_	e Potential Spergau-Litvínov Pipeline	
5.7 Lol	bau-Bratislava Waterway	170
OII A DO		
CHAPI	ER 6: RESULTS	174
CHAPT	ER 7: CONCLUSION	178
INDEX	OF NAMES	181
I ICT OI	SOURCES	189

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS: 7

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a.s.	Akciová společnost (Joint-Stock Company)
AG	Aktiengesellschaft (Joint-Stock Company)
AK	Акционерная компания (Joint-Stock Company)
ASEK	Aktualizace Státní energetické koncepce (Updated Czech
	National Energy Concept)
AUT	Austria
AWP	Adria-Wien Pipeline
B.V.	Besloten vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid
	(Limited liability company)
BAP	The potential Brody-Adamowo Pipeline
bcm	Billion cubic meters
bcm/y	Billion cubic meters per year
BPS	Baltic Pipeline System
BPS-II	Baltic Pipeline System II
BSP	The potential Bratislava-Schwechat Pipeline
BY	Belarus
CTF	Central Oil Tank Farm
CZ	Czech Republic
CAPPO	Česká asociace petrolejářského průmyslu a obchodu
	(Czech Association of Petroleum Industry and Trade)
CBU	Český báňský úřad (Czech Mining Authority)
CGS	Česká geologická služba (Czech Geological Survey)
CPU	Česká plynárenská unie (Czech Gas Union)
CPS	Český plynárenský svaz (Czech Gas Association)
CR	Czech Republic
CSFR	Česká a Slovenská Federativní Republika (Czech and
	Slovak Federative Republic)
CSR	Československá Republika (Czechoslovak Republic)
CSSR	Československá Socialistická Republika (Czechoslovak
	Socialist Republic)
D	Germany
DME	Dimethylether
DN	Diameter nominalis (nominal diameter)

Value Added Tax

VAT

DWT Deadweight tonnage

EAOTC Euro-Asian Oil Transportation Corridor

EBRD The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development

EC European Commission

EPH Energetický a průmyslový Holding, a. s.

ERU Energetický regulační úřad (Energy Regulatory Office)

ESPO East Siberia Pacific Ocean Pipeline

ETBE Ethyl tertiary butyl ether

EU European Union

FAME Fatty acid methyl esters

GmbH Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (Limited Liability

Company)

GR Greece

GDP Gross Domestic Product

HR Croatia HU Hungary

CHVO Chráněná vodohospodářská oblast (Protected Water Area)

I Italy

IAEA International Agency for Atomic Energy

IEA International Energy Agency

IKL Ingolstadt – Kralupy – Litvínov Pipeline

IR International Relations JANAF Jadranski Naftovod JSC Joint-Stock Company

k.p. Group

LNG Liquefied Natural Gas LPG Liquefied Petroleum Gas

LT Lithuania LFO Light Fuel Oil

LV Latvia

MEŘO Metylesther řepkového oleje (Metylesther of Rapeseed Oil)

MK Macedonia

MND Moravské naftové doly (Czech company producing gas

and oil)

MOL Magyar Olaj – és Gázipari Részvénytársaság (Hungarian oil and gas group)

MPO Ministerstvo průmyslu a obchodu (Ministry of Industry

and Trade of the Czech Republic)

MTBE Methyl tertiary butyl ether IR International Relations

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS: 9

MZV	Ministerstvo zahraničních věcí (Ministry of Foreign
	Affairs of the Czech Republic)
MZP	Ministerstvo životního prostředí (Ministry of the
	Environment of the Czech Republic)
n.p.	Národní podnik (National Enterprise)
NEK	Nezávislá Odborná Komise (Independent Expert
	Committee)
OAO	Открытое Акционерное Общество (Joint-Stock
	Company)
OBP	Oděsa-Brody-Pipeline
ODS	Občanská demokratická strana (Civic Democratic Party)
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
	Development
000	Общество с ограниченной ответственностью (Limited
	Liability Company)
OPEC	Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries
PAT	Публічне акціонерне товариство (Public Joint-Stock
	Company)
PCR	Parlament České Republiky (Parliament of the Czech
	Republic)
<b>PGNiG</b>	Polskie Górnictwo Naftowe i Gazownictwo (Polish Oil and
	Gas Mines)
PGP	Płock-Gdansk Pipeline
PKN	Polski Koncern Naftowy (Polish Oil Company)
PL	Poland
plc	Public Limited Company
PVC	Polyvinylchloride
RAMO	Rafinérie minerálních olejů (Mineral Oil Refinery)
REB	Russian Export Blend (intermediate fuel oils imported
	from the Russian Federation)
RPA	Rafinérie, Petrochemie, Agrochemie (Refineries,
	Petrochemicals, Agrochemicals)
Rt	Részvénytársaság (Joint-Stock Company)
RU	Russian Federation
RUP	Республиканское унитарное предприятие (Exclusively
	State-Owned Company)
S.A.	Société Anonyme (Joint-Stock Company)
s.p.	Státní podnik (State-Owned Company)
s.r.o.;	Spol. s r.o.; Společnost s ručením omezeným (Limited
,	Liability Company)

SA Spółka Akcyjna (Joint-Stock Company) **SAPPO** Slovenská asociácia petrolejárskeho priemyslu a obchodu (Slovak Association of Petroleum Industry and Trade) Státní báňská správa (The State Mining Administration of SBS the Czech Republic) Státní energetická inspekce (State Energy Inspection of SEI the Czech Republic) Státní energetická koncepce (Czech National Energy **SEK** Concept) Státní energetická politika (Czech National Energy Policy) SEP SK Slovak Republic The potential Spergau-Litvínov Pipeline SLP Slovenské naftové závody (Slovak Oil Enterprise) SNZ SR Slovak Republic SRB Serbia Správa státních hmotných rezerv ČR (Administration of SSHR State Material Reserves – Czech Republic) Správa štátných hmotných rezerv SR (State Material SSHR Reserves of Slovak Republic) Schwedt-Spergau Pipeline SSP The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics USSR Analysis of Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunites and **SWOT** Threats) SZStrana zelených (Green Party) Transalpine Ölleitung (Transalpine Pipeline) **TAL** Light Fuel Oil LFO Extra Light Fuel Oil **ELFO** Total primary energy supply **TPES** UA Ukraine US DoD United States Department of Defense **USA** United States of America American Dollar USD Закрите акціонерне товариство (Closed Joint-Stock ZAT Company) Employers' Association of Mining and Oil Industry **ZSDNP** Fellowship of Miners of the Czech Republic

LIST OF TABLES 11

## LIST OF TABLES

1 able 1.1:	Oli and Natural Gas Suppliers	
	for the European Union	
Table 1.2:	Interruption in Supplies of Oil in the CR	22
Table 2.1:	The Three Main Paradigms of International	
	Relations	29
Table 2.2:	Strategic (Realism) and Market (Liberalism)	
	Approaches — Division Lines	38
Table 2.3:	Dependent Variable Value Scale	48
Table 2.4:	Dependent Variables	48
Table 2.5:	Value scale of the probability index	
	of dependent variables	50
Table 2.6:	Output Scale	
Table 3.1:	Oil Pipelines to the Czech Republic	62
Table 3.2:	Utilisation of Druzhba and IKL	
Table 3.3:	Oil Deposits, Reserves and Excavation in the CR	65
Table 3.4:	Oil Pipeline Network of the Czech Republic	
Table 3.5:	Estimated MERO ČR, a.s., Transport Rates	
Table 3.6:	Product Pipeline Chain of the Czech Republic	75
Table 3.7:	Amount of Fuel Handled by the ČEPRO, a.s. System	76
Table 3.8:	Wholesale of Fuel by ČEPRO, a.s	76
Table 3.9:	Ownership Structure of the Most Significant	
	Entities in the Czech Oil Sector as of 31/1/2014	80
Table 3.10:	Oil Consumption in the CR by Sectors	82
Table 3.11:	Refinery Oil Processing in the CR	83
Table 3.12:	Reserves of Oil and Oil Products Held by ASMR	
	as of 31 December 2012	86
Table 3.13:	Shares in the Mix of Primary Energy Resources	
	based upon the 2004 Czech National Energy	
	Concept and its amendment from February 2013	
	(data in %)	
Table 3.14:	Predicted Oil Consumption in the CR	
Table 3.15:	Baseline for the CR in 2012	
Table 4.1:	Oil Pipelines to Slovakia	95
Table 4.2:	Utilisation of Druzhba Pipeline	95

Table 4.3:	Oil Deposits, Reserves and Extraction in the SR97
Table 4.4:	Oil Pipeline Network in the Slovak Republic99
Table 4.5:	Estimate of Transpetrol, a.s. Transport Tariff Rates99
Table 4.6:	Ownership Structure of the Most Significant
	Entities in the Slovak Oil Sector as of 1/1/2014102
Table 4.7:	Oil Consumption in the SR by Sectors104
Table 4.8:	Refinery Oil Processing in the SR105
Table 4.9:	Capacity of Oil and Oil Product Tanks under
	State Material Reserves of Slovak Republic107
Table 4.10:	Orientation Shares in the Mix of the Primary
	Energy Sources according to SR 2006 State Energy
	Policy and SR 2013 Draft State Energy Policy 108
Table 4.11:	Predicted Oil Consumption in the SR109
Table 4.12:	Prognosis of Motor Fuel Consumption
	Development in Slovakia110
Table 4.13:	Baseline for the SR in 2012112
Table 5.1:	Simplified Map of the European Oil Pipeline
	Network
Table <b>5.2</b> :	Basic Information on Selected European Oil
	Pipelines114
Table <b>5.3</b> :	Central European Oil Sector116
Table 5.4:	TAL Pipeline
Table 5.5:	Medium-Term Horizon for the CR
	and Dependant Variable A (IKL Pipeline)125
Table 5.6:	Medium-Term Horizon for the SR and
	Dependant Variable A (IKL Pipeline)125
Table 5.7:	The Adria Pipeline126
Table 5.8:	Refineries on the JANAF and ADRIA Routes130
Table 5.9:	Capacity of Individual Sections in Relation
	to the Adria Pipeline135
Table 5.10:	The Medium-Term Horizon for the CR and
	the Dependant Variable B (the ADRIA Pipeline)136
Table 5.11:	The Medium-Term Horizon for the SR and
	the Dependant Variable B (the ADRIA Pipeline)136
Table <b>5.12</b> :	Length Comparisson of Potential Routes
	for the Planned BSP Pipeline139
Table 5.13:	The AWP Pipeline
Table 5.14:	The Medium-Term Horizon for the CR
	and the Dependant Variable C (the BSP and
	AWP Pipelines)146

LIST OF TABLES 13

Table 5.15:	The Medium-Term Horizon for the SR
	and the Dependant Variable C (the BSP
	and AWP Pipelines)146
Table <b>5.16</b> :	Potential Route from the Caspian Sea
	to Central Europe147
Table 5.17:	Comparison of Total Transportation Costs for
	Russian REBCO Oil Blend to Bratislava Refinery154
	Ukrainian Refineries157
Table 5.19:	The Ukranian Oil Pipeline System158
Table 5.20:	Capacity of Individual Sections in Relation
	to the Odessa-Brody Pipeline159
Table 5.21:	
	and Dependent Variable D (the potential
	Odessa-Brody-Adamowo-Płock-Gdańsk Pipeline) 161
Table 5.22:	Medium-Term Horizon for the SR
	and Dependant Variable D (the potential
	Odessa-Brody-Adamowo-Płock-Gdańsk Pipeline) 161
Table 5.23:	The Planned Litvínov – Leuna Pipeline163
Table 5.24:	Capacity of Individual Sections in Relation
	to the Litvínov-Spergau Pipeline165
Table 5.25:	The Medium-Term Horizon for the CR
	and the Dependant Variable E (the potential
	Spergau-Litvínov Pipeline)169
Table 5.26:	The Medium-Term Horizon for the SR
	and the Dependant Variable E (the potential
	Spergau-Litvínov Pipeline)169
Table 5.27:	Middle Branch of the River Danube 171
Table 5.28:	Medium Horizon for the CR and Dependant
	Variable F (the Lobau-Bratislava Waterway)173
Table 5.29:	Medium Horizon for the SR and Dependant
	Variable F (the Lobau-Bratislava Waterway)173
Table 6.1:	Summary of Outputs175
Table 6.2:	

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Neither the Czech Republic nor Slovakia has any significant domestic resources of crude oil, leaving both countries at the mercy of foreign sources for the overwhelming majority of their oil. Since 1962, the majority of crude oil has been transported to the countries via the Druzhba Pipeline, the longest pipeline on Earth. To-date, the pipeline remains the primary route for supplying oil to both Slovak and Czech refineries.

Since 2007, information has frequently surfaced that say Russia is considering closure of the Druzhba, a significant threat to the Czech Republic and Slovakia. Supplies on the Druzhba Pipeline are most susceptible to interruption on the south branch, negatively impacting the Czech Republic and Slovakia.

This book was written to assess the available infrastructural alternatives and to provide guidelines for resolving future issues. It involves a somewhat ambitious effort to create a presentation and a set of recommendations that will attract and influence not just analysts and experts, but also those active in the Czech and Slovak oil markets. The aim is to analyse the potential of pipeline infrastructure, taking into account alternative supply routes for the Czech and Slovak Republics. Because the countries are next-door neighbours, they are analysed side-by-side. Subsequently optimal and suboptimal variants of potential actions the two could take in common are discussed.

The initial focus of this book is on current baseline conditions in the oil sector in the Czech and Slovak Republics. In addition to providing baseline values that will be used further on in the text, a detailed description is offered of the oil sector EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 15

in these countries. The comprehensive, quality dataset used to do so is one output. Readers will acquaint themselves not only with oil infrastructure, but also with the use of oil, the individual components of the oil sector, its outlook, etc. Thus a comprehensive set of data will be available that allows deductions to be made about the significance of oil for the country. Baseline conditions in the Czech Republic and Slovakia will be compared with six infrastructural alternatives in the experimental section. These are the Ingolstadt-Kralupy-Litvínov Pipeline, the Adria Pipeline, the potential Bratislava-Schwechat Pipeline, the Adria-Wien Pipeline, the potential Odesa-Brody-Adamowo-Płock-Gdansk Pipeline, the potential Spergau-Litvínov Pipeline and the Lobau-Bratislava waterway.

The basic hypothesis—that because of the existing oil pipeline network infrastructure, because of the countries' varied geographical positions and given the various ways of implementing diversification, the Czech and Slovak Republics actually have little in common—was falsified.

The values for the optimum result for the Czech Republic are entirely comparable with the second result, the optimum result for the Slovak Republic. Although it is not the best variant for the CR, it has a decidedly positive effect on supply security, and collaboration between the countries on joint development of this suboptimal variant is both possible and desirable. Although the countries differ in terms of geographical position as well as interests and strategies for attaining fluent oil supplies, the potential Odessa-Brody-Adamowo-Płock-Gdańsk Pipeline, the section of Odessa-Brody-Druzhba that is already in place, has the potential to make a significant positive impact on oil supply security. It is the optimum alternative for the SR and the best suboptimal alternative for the CR to the primary supply route. Joint action by the Czech Republic and Slovakia to promote and develop this alternative is possible and suitable.

The study results show that the Czech and Slovak Republics share interests in the oil sector that can be recast into joint action for their attainment. But the suboptimal result significantly concerns Ukraine as a transit country for the Odessa-Brody Pipeline. And Ukraine is known for being an unreliable transit agent for hydrocarbons. The situation is also impacted by the current turmoil in Ukraine, which does little to promote collaboration and supply stability. Within the oil sector, both the counties should become diplomatically active to terminate the conflict and settle the situation. That is the only way to reach the positives that follow from the development of the Odessa-Brody Pipeline.

Within the oil sector, the Czech Republic and Slovakia are significantly interconnected, and changes that take place in one country influence developments in the other. This study has shown that when it comes to crude oil, both countries can function as partners and take joint steps to attain their own unilateral interests in line with their energy concepts. But in terms of oil refining and the oil trade, the countries are fierce competitors and this dichotomy may significantly influence their common diplomacy. The interests of refineries and traders in Central Europe and the relationships between them would be a suitable topic for follow-up studies.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 17

#### CHAPTER 1:

#### INTRODUCTION

"Oil is money; natural gas is politics."

This truism about hydrocarbon energy has been repeated ever since the field first drew attention from those outside it—from the public, but particularly from figures in political and international relations circles. Since the time of Winston Churchill, who before World War I chose to wager on oil over coal to fuel the British Navy, the importance of energy for both domestic and foreign policy has surged. But a full appreciation came only with the oil shocks of the 1970s. Now, after the disintegration of the Soviet Union, after the structural changes engendered in the international system and parallel changes to policy themes, energy stands at the forefront of domestic and foreign policy.

Whoever first uttered the quotation above is lost to history, but one thing is certain: the words are still relevant. Even after 150 years of extraction and use—the first drill hole in the United States was sunk in 1859—oil remains sovereign among raw materials used for energy. This is so for many reasons. Among them is its relative simplicity of extraction, its great ease of transport, the extent to which industry relies upon it, and the fact that given this massive use, it has few substitutes.

Comparing oil to that other prominent hydrocarbon, natural gas, immediately shows off its advantages. First of all, the global oil market is highly liquid, greatly reducing the negatives associated with oil dependence. Its ease of transport by means of oceangoing tankers, pipelines, and railroads, and tanker trucks is another plus. Because it is a liquid, oil is easy

to handle; its utilization hardly impacts on critical aspects of day-to-day survival. Any interruption to natural gas supplies will immediately lead to a loss of heating in homes, businesses and elsewhere, not to mention the impact on electricity production, a critical problem during the consumption-hungry winter months. Interruptions to the oil supply, by contrast, will cause outages in the production of fuels and petrochemical products, but these products may be purchased elsewhere, largely limiting the impact to the 'mere' collapse of the Czech refineries and to an extent, of the industrial sector.

There is no question that politics has deeply infiltrated the oil sector, but not in as flagrantly obvious manner as in other energy sectors. Its presence is not a given in the oil sector. Where politics does play a key role is in regions where oil pipeline infrastructure has not yet been sufficiently developed and for which there is but a single route or supplier. In those regions where the infrastructure does exist, the oil supply is governed almost exclusively by market relationships. Any disruption to supply for political reasons does significant damage to the supplier—because of the high liquidity of the global oil market, there is always an alternative source available. This is particularly true for the European Union because of the wide range of suppliers it has at hand (see Table 1.1).

The Russian Federation, by far the largest oil supplier to the European Union, has a problem, according to informed sources, in keeping its oil production growing at the same rate as world demand, particularly from Asia. It has diversified its consumer base by using new routes, including the ESPO (*Eastern Siberia Pacific Ocean Pipeline*) route, which terminates at the eastern Siberian port of Kosmino, BPS (*Baltic Pipeline System*) terminating at Primorsk—a Russian port in the Gulf of Finland on the Baltic Sea—and BPS-II (*Baltic Pipeline System II*), which leads to Ust-Luga in the Gulf of Finland. But it has become clear that the Russian Federation